Use of Geopolymerized Industrial by-product from Iron and steel Industry in Concrete replacing the Natural River Sand- Properties at fresh and hardened state.
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Abstract. Scarcity of the construction materials, peculiarly the natural river sand has become a serious threat in the construction industry. Though many researchers of developed and developing countries are trying to find alternative sources for the same, the complete replacement of the fine aggregate in concrete is crucial. Geopolymer sand developed from the Industrial waste (Ground granulated blast furnace slag - GGBS) is an effective alternative for the complete replacement of the natural sand. The GGBS based geopolymer sand (G-GFA) was tested for physical and chemical properties. Upon the successful achievement of the properties in par with the natural river sand, the fresh properties (fresh concrete density & slump) and hardened properties (compressive strength, tensile strength & flexural strength) of the concrete specimens developed with G-GFA were studied. The G-GFA is obtained by both air drying (AD-G-GFA) and oven drying (OD-F-GFA) after the dry mixing of the alkaline solution and GGBS for about 10 minutes. Thus, developed fine aggregates were studied separately for the fresh and hardened concrete to optimize the feasible one. Both the fresh and mechanical properties of the G-GFA concrete specimens resulted in similar findings to that of the control specimen developed with natural river sand reflecting the plausibility of G-GFA as a complete replacement choice to the fine aggregate in the concrete industry.

1. Introduction
Inevitably the use of Concrete in the construction Industry is rapidly increasing and thus the depletion of natural resources too, since around 70% of the total mass of the concrete were procured from the natural resources, like the riverbeds and quarries [1]. The exploitation of the natural resource paves way for the ecosystem imbalance through reduction of ground water table, soil erosion adjacent to the riverbeds, exhausting the flora & fauna and deforestation too in few cases. In view of these adversative effects, the researchers strive to involve the by-products, waste materials and recycled materials for the production of concrete in the form of no-cement concrete (Geopolymer Concrete) and also as replacement materials in both fine & coarse aggregates. The usage of the industrial by-products is always trending owing to its accompanying aids like limited usage of construction materials, conservation of natural resources (riverbeds & quarries) and prevention of dumping the by-products onto the fertile soil swerving to a sustainable growth of the construction Industry. One such Industrial by-product, ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) expelled out from the iron and steel industry is always an ideal choice for the researchers owing to its finer nature than cement which aided the same to possess good cementitious activity at early ages and increased rate of reaction at room temperature itself [2]. This LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) certified industrial by-product also mitigates the pores thereby corrosion and provides good resistance to sulphate & chloride attack [3,4,5,6] owing to its primary contents like silica, calcium, aluminium, magnesium and oxygen which together comprises for about 95% of its total composition. However, the
composition may vary based on the parent mineral ore, the type of fluxing stone used and also the coke that is feed into the blast furnace at the manufacturing industry [7].

Though there are many researches executed upon the replacement of fine aggregate in concrete with other industrial wastes and by-products such as waste foundry sand [8,9], bottom ash [10,11], waste glass [12,13], marble waste [14,15], crumb rubber [16,17,18], plastic PET fibers [19,20], iron slag [21,22], basaltic pumice [23,24], rice husk [25,26], waste tiles [27] and fly ash [28,29] there are limited studies incorporating GGBS as fine aggregate [30] due to its finer nature. Nevertheless, in a detailed study [31] when granulated blast furnace slag (GFS) which has a particle size similar to river sand is used as fine aggregate in concrete at 20%, 40% and 60%, better figures were noted in the quality of concrete when the GFS % is increased at both 0.45 and 0.5 water/cement ratio. Similar studies [32,33,34] using GFS reciprocated the betterment in compressive strength up to 75% replacement under controlled w/c ratio and controlled conditions.

This is an intensive experimental attempt to investigate the potential of GGBS in concrete by geopolymerization process of this industrial waste into fine aggregates. Thus, synthesized fine aggregates from GGBS(G-GFA) were further incorporated in concrete to analyze the fresh concrete properties as well as the hardened concrete properties. Though comparable studies [35,36,37] were initiated recently in obtaining fine aggregates by geopolymerization of industrial wastes.

2. Materials and Test Procedures

In order to synthesize fine aggregates from GGBS, it is allowed to geopolymerize through oven drying as well as air drying. Initially GGBS is manually dry mixed with alkaline medium of sodium hydroxide pellets and sodium silicate solution for about 10 minutes. Thus, obtained dry mix is used in concrete as geopolymerized fine aggregate after air drying (AD-G-GFA) and oven drying (OD-G-GFA). The optimum values for the compressive strength for the samples were noted at 12M of alkaline solution with a solid to solution ratio 3:1 and Na₂SiO₃/NaOH ratio of 1:2. The air dried (30±3˚) samples developed optimum strength at 7 days whereas the oven dried samples were optimized to be under 100˚ C for about 45 minutes to obtain the maximum compressive strength. Thus, developed synthetic sand were categorized under zone I as per the Indian standards- IS 383:2016[38] and noted to have all required material properties(Table 1). A pH value of 11 is noted for G-GFA (Figure 2) and natural sand (NS) (Figure 1) respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Property</th>
<th>Type of Fine aggregate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD-G-GFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption (%)</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-efficient of Uniformity (Cₜ)</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-efficient of Curvature (Cₑ)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength of mortar specimens (kN/mm²)</td>
<td>20.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the preparation of concrete in this study, a concrete slump of 75-100 mm is assumed as target slump and the mix proportioning [Table 2] is obtained. A water/cement ratio of 0.6 is adopted in this study. The material specifications incorporated in this study are also listed (Table 3).

**Table 2: Mix proportioning of the concrete specimens of NS and G-GFA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aggregate</th>
<th>Water (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Cement (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Fine aggregate (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Coarse aggregate (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Plasticizer (kg/m³)</th>
<th>Total Quantity (kg/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>196.37</td>
<td>327.28</td>
<td>683.32</td>
<td>1124.86</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2334.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD-G-GFA</td>
<td>196.37</td>
<td>327.28</td>
<td>574.20</td>
<td>1124.86</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2225.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD-G-GFA</td>
<td>196.37</td>
<td>327.28</td>
<td>626.40</td>
<td>1124.86</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2277.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Material specifications for concrete**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Code Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Potable tap water</td>
<td>IS 456-2000[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ordinary Portland cement</td>
<td>Specific gravity-3.15</td>
<td>IS 12269: 2013[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Coarse aggregate</td>
<td>Specific gravity- 2.6</td>
<td>IS 383: 2016[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Size- 10mm to 12.5mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Admixture</td>
<td>Polycarboxylate based super-</td>
<td>IS 9103: 1999[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plasticizer (up to 0.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fine aggregate – natural sand</td>
<td>Specific gravity – 2.62</td>
<td>IS 383:2016[38].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zone - I</td>
<td>IS: 2386 (Part- III) – 1963[44]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To ascertain the feasibility of the G-GFA developed in this study both the fresh concrete studies and hardened concrete studies were carried out. Fresh concrete studies such as the workability and fresh concrete density were studied. Whereas for the hardened concrete, the dry density, compressive strength, tensile strength, and flexural strength were studied to conclude the mechanical properties of the concrete specimens developed with AD-G-GFA and OD-G-GFA which is then compared with the control concrete specimens developed with NS. The different tests carried out in both fresh concrete and hardened concrete are listed down (Table 4).

**Table 4: Tests on hardened concrete and Fresh concrete.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Test on concrete</th>
<th>Specimen details</th>
<th>Formulae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>workability</td>
<td>Slump cone</td>
<td>Slump value = total height of the slump – height of the slumped concrete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>100 mm cube</td>
<td>Density = mass/volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Tests on harden concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Compressive strength</td>
<td>100mm cube</td>
<td>Compressive strength = \frac{\text{load at point of failure}}{\text{cross sectional surface area}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Splitting Tensile strength</td>
<td>150mm diameter and 300mm height cylinder</td>
<td>Splitting tensile strength = \frac{2P}{\pi DL} where, P= applied load, D = diameter of the specimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Flexural strength</td>
<td>100* 100* 500mm beam</td>
<td>Flexural strength = \frac{PL}{bd^2} where, P= Failure load, L= Effective span of the beam, b= Breadth of the beam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Results and Discussion:

#### 3.1 Fresh Concrete

Initially, the fresh concrete properties of the developed samples were studied. The fresh concrete mix obtained with AD-G-GFA and OD-F-GFA were experimentally tested for workability and density.

##### 3.1.1 Workability and fresh concrete density

The well graded G-GFA resulted in a steadily falling slump for a water/cement ratio of 0.6. The mix initially appeared dry. Upon the addition of poly carboxylate-based superplasticizer of 0.4 % into the mix, good flowability and workability is noticed in the concrete. No bleeding or segregation is visualized in the developed concrete due to the uniform grading of the fine aggregate and hence the concrete too.

![Figure 3: Fresh Concrete Density of G-GFA compared with NS.](image)

The density (Figure 3) of the fresh concrete matrix is noted to be more for the AD-G-GFA than the OD-G-GFA. The denser matrix resulted in easily workable concrete with no excess water for the AD-G-GFA similar to that of the concrete specimens developed with NS. The fresh concrete density of NS is 9% and 3% higher than the OD-G-GFA and AD-G-GFA respectively indicating the feasibility of usage of this particular mix for the further study.
3.2 Harden concrete parameters

To define the mechanical properties of the concrete specimens, the dry density, compressive strength, flexural strength and split tensile strength were studied and then compared with that of the specimens obtained with NS. To conduct the study experimentally three replicate specimens were casted for each parameter for every type of fine aggregate and the average value is noted for further analysis.

3.2.1 Dry density:
The mass of the specimens was recorded with the weighing balance and then the dry density is obtained for each specimen. The dry density (Figure 4) of the samples was recorded for 7, 28, 56 and 90 days of curing period to study the variation of the same with respect to the curing days. At 28 days and 56 days the OD-G-GFA specimens attained 83% and 87% density to that of the NS specimens. Whereas at 28 days and 56 days, the AD-G-GFA specimens developed 93% and 94% dry density of the NS specimen indicating the high chance of usage of the G-GFA in the concrete specimens. Not many variations are observed for the dry density at 7 days and 90 days for the concrete specimens of since the GGBS did not contribute to the later age strength.

![Dry Density](image)

**Figure 4:** Dry density of G-GFA compared with NS

3.2.2 Compressive strength:
For the analysis of the compressive strength (Figure 5) of the samples, three replicate samples were casted for a single type of concrete and were tested at curing days of 7 days, 28 days, 56 days and 90 days. Concrete specimens of size 100mm x 100mm x 100mm were adopted for testing the compressive strength using the universal testing machine. The results indicated maximum strength gain at 56 days of curing for AD-G-GFA concrete and OD-G-GFA concrete which is 97% and 94% respectively compared to the concrete developed with NS. Nevertheless at 90 days of curing also, considerable rise in compressive strength is noted for the G-GFA specimens when compared with the NS specimens.

3.2.3 Tensile strength:
The tensile strength (Figure 6) of the G-GFA specimens were tested and compared with the NS specimens to ascertain the ability of the concrete to withstand the tensile stress. Both AD-G-GFA and OD-G-GFA soundly contributed to improve the concrete against the tensile stress. Particularly, at 56 days of curing 96% and 91% of tensile strength is attained by the AD-G-GFA and OD-G-GFA specimens. The G-GFA particles consist of unreacted particles even after the geopolymerization process during the synthesis of the fine aggregate which then reacted with the cement particles and enhanced the mechanical strength.
Figure 5: Compressive strength of G-GFA compared with NS.

Figure 6: Tensile strength of G-GFA compared with NS.
3.2.4 **Flexural strength:**

The maximum stress that occurs on the tension face of the concrete beam (unreinforced) is calculated by using a concrete specimen of size 100 x 100 x 500 mm. The flexural strength (Figure 7) developed in the G-GFA specimens were appreciable and closer to the NS specimens. At 56 and 90 days of curing, the OD-G-GFA samples acquired 91% and 94% of tensile strength compared to the NS concrete. On the other side the AD-G-GFA specimens developed 99% and 98% of flexural strength of the NS concrete. The results are clearly evident that the air dried geopolymer sand developed improved concrete than the oven dried geopolymer sand.

![Flexural strength](image)

**Figure 7:** Flexural strength of G-GFA compared with NS.

4. **Conclusion**

This experimental investigation is concluded as follows:

1) For obtaining the GGBS based geopolymer sand, an alkaline solution of 12M, solid:solution ratio of 3:1 and Na$_2$SiO$_3$/NaOH ratio of 1:2 is optimized based on the required compressive strength.

2) The AD-G-GFA is allowed to geopolymerize in room temperature(30±3°C) whereas the OD-G-GFA is kept in hot air oven for about 45 minutes at a temperature of 100°C for attaining the optimum compressive strength.

3) Both AD-G-GFA and OD-G-GFA acquired higher water absorption ratio than the natural river sand however the uniform grading of the G-GFA along with the superplasticizer initiated a workable concrete mix.

4) The mechanical properties of the AD-G-GFA are comparatively higher than the OD-G-GFA owing to the ability of GGBS to polymerize under room temperature itself without the necessity of additional heat. The oven dried polymerized sand specimens developed comparatively lower mechanical strength due to the stiffening nature of the GGBS under higher temperature due to further drying that results in degradation of the microstructure in OD-G-GFA, thus the concrete specimens too.
5) Though the G-GFA samples demands higher water absorption ratio compared with the normal river sand, the unreacted particles in the G-GFA even after the geopolymerization process gets hydrated upon concreting and promotes the formation of C-S-H gel too, thus enhancing the microstructure and the mechanical properties of the G-GFA concrete.

6) The OD-G-GFA developed good workability with concrete than the AD-G-GFA. The flaky nature of GGBS particles polymerizes with the alkaline solution at room temperature itself in AD-G-GFA and becomes adoptable in the concrete which also enhanced the mechanical properties of the AD-G-GFA concrete specimens the left out unreacted particles in GGBS reacts with cement also contributing to the formation of C-S-H gel.

7) Therefore, the AD-G-GFA becomes the most feasible alternative construction material instead of the natural river sand in the construction industry.

5. Future scope of the study
- Synthesis of G-GFA in large scale can be further studied by framing the proper guidelines.
- Partial replacement of the G-GFA in various types of concrete can be analyzed for developing a high strength concrete.
- Behavior of G-GFA concrete at elevated temperature is still a research lag.
- The feasibility of G-GFA in extreme conditions (seashore structures, colder regions, etc.) can be further studied.
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